





# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/772,593	01/30/2001		Marshall Medoff	08895-019001 Fibrous Mate		
26161 7	590	02/24/2003				
FISH & RICH		I PC	EXAMINER			
225 FRANKLI BOSTON, MA	-			NUTTER, N	NUTTER, NATHAN M	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1711	15	
				DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

13

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/772,593	MEDOFF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nathan M. Nutter	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) y vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. 8 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application						
		_				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-44,52 and 53</u> is/ar 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e willidrawii from consideratio	n.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-17, 45-51 and 54-61</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep		kaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(-) (-)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e)(e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
5. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	tion Summany	D. 4. (D				



Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The claims added by amendment in Paper No. 14 of 7 February 2003 were inadvertently numbered as 52-61, inclusive. By Rule 126, these claims are re-numbered as claims 54-63, inclusive.

The claim rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, is hereby expressly withdrawn.

The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 103 over the teachings of Pratt et al is hereby expressly withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 57, 58, and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Pratt et al, cited by applicants.

The reference to Pratt et al teaches essentially what is recited and herein claimed wherein a composite is produced from a resin and a cellulosic or lignocellulosic fiber that has been sheared. Note column 3 (lines 6-23) for the production of the fiber constituent and column 3 (lines 24-41) for the blended product. Note column 2 (lines 15-27) for the percentages by weight for the resin and fiber constituents. Note column 2



Art Unit: 1711

(lines 60-68) for the fiber sources, as recited in instant claims 3 and 7.finally, note the first full paragraph of column 5 for the modulus of rupture.

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-17, 45-51 and 54-61 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,973,035. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the particular choice of either resin or fiber source would be well within the purview of a practitioner in view of the claims of the reference.

Claims 1-17, 45-51 and 54-61 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9-37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,207,729. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not



Art Unit: 1711

patentably distinct from each other because the choice of resin and fiber source would be obvious variants to those claimed herein.

### Response to Arguments

No timely filed Terminal Disclaimer has been filed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 703-308-2443. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.



Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn

14 February 2003.